



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/610,961	07/01/2003	Anand A. Kekre	VRT0063US	4162
6/04/29 7590 08/11/2009 CAMPBELL STEPHENSON LLP 11401 CENTURY OAKS TERRACE BLDG. H, SUITE 250 AUSTIN, TX 78758				
EXAMINER				
DWTIVEDI, MAHESH H				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2168				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/11/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/610,961

**Applicant(s)**

KEKRE ET AL.

**Examiner**

MAHESH H. DWIVEDI

**Art Unit**

2168

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MAHESH H. DWIVEDI.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ronald Liu (Applicant's Representative).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 04 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes

e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 10 and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Veritas NPL, Eshel.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: On 8/4/09, the examiner conducted an interview with applicant's representative Mr. Ronald Liu. Mr. Liu discussed the proposed amendment of modifying a backup during a backup process. The examiner stated that the 102 reference of Eshel did not teach this limitation. The examiner stated that moving up claims 10-11 into the independent claims would make the instant case allowable pending discovery of new art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Mahesh H Dwivedi/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2168